PRODUCTIVITY OF *SPHAGNUM* (BOG-MOSS) AND PEAT ACCUMULATION

BY

R. S. CLYMO and E. J. F. REDDAWAY

581.526.33 : 582.341.2

A dry matter balance is made for some *Sphagnum* dominated areas of blanket bog at 575 m altitude in the Pennine hills of Britain. Productivity of *Sphagnum* in pools is about 2.9 g dm^{-2} yr^{-1}, on lawns about 3.4 g. dm^{-2} yr^{-1} and on hummocks about 1.8 g $dm^{-2}yr^{-1}$. Mean gas loss is about 1.5, 0.9 and 1.3 g dry matter $dm^{-2}yr^{-1}$ from the corresponding habitats. Loss in solution averages about 0.2 g $dm^{-2}yr^{-1}$, but distinction between habitats is not made.

INTRODUCTION

The reasons for wanting to know the productivity of *Sphagnum* are given in [5]. Briefly they are :

1. *Sphagnum* is abundant [16] [17] especially in Northern countries. Attempts to explain rates of peat formation must use *Sphagnum* productivity estimates.

2. The structure of the plants is unusual. It has therefore some properties intermediate between those of an aquatic macrophyte and those of a microphyte.

3. Acidity in *Sphagnum* bogs is related to productivity [5]. In this paper an attempt is made to produce a dry matter balance sheet for parts of an area of bog in which *Sphagnum* is the major component.

THE EXPERIMENTAL AREA

The area is in the Moor House National Nature Reserve 2°21′ W, 54°46′ N, in Westmorland, England, at altitude about 575 m on the place known locally as Burnt Hill, National Grid reference NY (35) 754328. A general description of vegetation on the Reserve is given by [8]. Burnt Hill is covered by blanket bog with peat 2—3.5 m deep.

A vegetational survey of the area was made in April, 1970. "Rooted" presence/absence was recorded in square samples of side 25 cm. The first three types have *Sphagnum* as dominant, but in the last it is a lesser component (or absent). About 40% of the whole area is *Sphagnum* dominated (Table 1). The frequency and (where appropiate) density of the commonest species are shown in table 2 and in figure 2. By

July it was obvious that some species of hemicryptophytes are also common, particularly *Narthecium ossifragum*, *Drosera rotundifolia* and also *Scirpus cespitosus*. Other species, uncommon but of interest, are *Sphag-*

Table 1

Proportion of whole sample area occupied by subjectively classified types of vegetation

Type of vegetation	Proportion of area	
Pool	0.18	0.39 (Pool + Lawn + Hummock)
Lawn	0.13	
Hummock	0.08	
General blanket bog	0.61	



Fig. 1. — Normal and inverse analyses of blanket bog on Burnt Hill, based on the information statistics. Qualitative data from 206 samples each 25 cm× 25 cm, collected in April 1970. Some species, particularly *Drosera rotundifolia*. *Narthecium ossifragum* and *Scirpus cespitosus* were more obvious later in the year. A monothetic divisive method was used (Lance and Williams, 1968).



num fuscum, *Rubus chamaemorus*, and *Vaccinium oxycoccus*. The vegetation of this area is shown on the map of [8] as *Calluneto-Eriophoretum*, but it is perhaps approaching their *Trichophoro-Eriophoretum*.

Table 2

Frequency (F) of commonest species on the whole sample area*

Species	Frequency in square 625 cm² sample	Calculated mean density (shoots m⁻²)
Calluna vulgaris	0.70	19.5
Eriophorum vaginatum	0.67	
E. angustifolium	0.62	15.6
Sphagnum rubellum	0.47	
Erica tetralix	0.46	9.9
Cladonia arbuscula	0.41	
Sphagnum papillosum	0.35	
S. cuspidatum	0.20	

* Densities (D) have been calculated from $D = -\ln(1-F) \times 1000/625$ This assumes that the plants are randomly distributed, and that the conditions for a Poisson distribution are satisfied. The calculation has only been made for those species for which these assumptions are approximately true.



Fig. 2. — Frequency of the 8 most abundant species on Burnt Hill. The top block diagram shows data for the whole area. The lower three diagrams are for those samples classified subjectively as pool, lawn or hummock. Arrows point towards the frequency for the whole area.

Hierarchic classifications of the vegetation, based on floristic composition, using normal and inverse monothetic divisive information-analyses [10] [11] [18] are shown in figure 1. The general blanket bog group A joins with B (containing *Cladonia arbuscula* alone) at a level not much above that of A. This may be due to a difference in shelter : *C. arbuscula* appearing mainly amongst larger *Calluna* bushes. The hummock and lawn *Sphagnum* spp. appear in group C, joined by *Eriophorum angustifolium*. Group F is a collection of species having little in common except their rarity (in the samples). That *S. cuspidatum* joins this group emphasizes the floristic isolation of the pools, which are almost the sole habitat of this species, and which are particularly notable for the absence of other species.

The climate of Moor House is described by [12].

In general, the climate is cool, fairly windy, fairly constantly damp, with few dry periods of more than a week.

MEASUREMENTS AND METHODS

Input of dry matter to the bog ecosystem is mainly as carbohydrate produced during the growth of the plants. Output is mainly as gas and in runoff in solution.

Growth and productivity of *Sphagnum* may be measured by two methods (details given in [5]).

1. "Cranked wire" method. A stainless steel wire, bent in two places to form a shape like that of a car starting handle, is pushed into the bog, leaving one end projecting. This serves as a marker against which the growth length of the plants may be measured. The mass. area $^{-1}$ for a unit depth of *Sphagnum* carpet (excluding capitula) is also measured, and a fairly direct estimate of net productivity can be made.

2. "Capitulum correction" method. *Sphagnum* plants are cut to 5 cm long, and replaced (or transplanted) in the bog surface. At harvest the increase is removed, dried and weighed. A correction must be made for the material originally present in the capitulum and carried into the "new growth" by internode elongation. Details and checks of both methods are given in [5].

Organic matter in solution was measured as loss in weight on ignition of filtered water samples from pools. These were collected at approximately monthly intervals.

Productivity of plants other than *Sphagnum* was calculated from measurements of above-ground biomass or standing crop. The samples were collected at the time of seasonal maximum. Conversion to productivity was made using factors calculated by Dr. G. I. Forrest from his detailed measurements on Sike Hill.

EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

1. Estimates of net primary production of *Sphagnum rubellum* were made by the cranked wire method on hummocks and on the general blanket bog. The *Sphagnum* was classified subjectively as being healthy, moderate, or unhealthy in appearance. Criteria of both density and colour were used.

This method gave mean estimates of net productivity ranging from 2.0 to 0.5 g dm^{-2} yr^{-1} (Table 3) in healthy and unhealthy sites, the differences between sites being significant at the $P = 0.05$ level. The overall mean was 0.9 g dm^{-2} yr^{-1}. Table 4 shows the growth measured on three separate areas of blanket bog having different histories and management.

2. Another estimate of net primary productivity of *Sphagnum* on the Burnt Hill site was made in a factorial experiment using the "capitulum correction" method. Plants of four species (*S. cuspidatum*, *S. re-*

Table 3

Growht in length in 2 years of *Sphagnum rubellum* on hummocks and general blanket bog on Burnt Hill*

Type of site	Healthy	Moderate	Unhealthy	All
Number of wires set out	15	33	36	84
Number found	11	22	24	57
Mean growth (cm)	4.3(8)	1.9(3)	1.0(2)	1.94
Variance	0.53	0.99	1.34	1.15
net productivity (g $dm^{-2}yr^{-2}$)	2.0	0.9	0.5	0.9

* The last line shows net productivity based on a bulk density for the 3 cm below (and not including the capitulum) of 0.9 g dm^{-2} cm^{-1} [5].

Table 4

Growth in length (cm yr^{-2}) of *S. rubellum* on hummocks and general blanket bog on three areas at Moor House

Area	Sheep Grazed?	With Pools?	Type of site		
			Healthy	Moderate	Unhealthy
Sike Hill	+	—	2.08	1.35	0.65
Bog Hill	—	±	2.14	1.40	0.63
Burnt Hill	+	+	2.17	0.97	0.51

curvum, *S. papillosum* and *S. rubellum*) were cut to 5 cm length and transplanted to one of two sites in three habitats (pool, lawn or hummock). The original habitat of *S. cuspidatum* is pools, of *S. papillosum* is lawns, and of *S. rubellum* is hummocks. *S. recurvum* is not in general a species of the open blanket bog on this part of Burnt Hill except where water is channelled into gullies. It may be that it responds to flushing, and certainly its natural growth pattern of overlapping horizontal shoots is very different from that of *S. papillosum* and *S. rubellum* [1] [15], though not dissimilar to that of *S. cuspidatum*. Results, after correction for change

Table 5

Growth of *Sphagnum* transplanted to various habitats on Burnt Hill*

Species	Habitat					
	Pool		Lawn		Hummock	
S. recurvum	<u>23·9</u>	12.3	<u>21·0</u>	3.4	<u>7·5</u>	2.8
S. papillosum	<u>10·7</u>	3·6	<u>16·8</u>	4.3	<u>11·9</u>	0.9
S. rubellum	<u>5·6</u>	4·2	<u>5·2</u>	1.7	<u>5·7</u>	0.8
S. cuspidatum	<u>16·5</u>	12·1	<u>18·1</u>	6.9		

* The period of growth was April 1968 – April 1969. Underlined figures are mg $plant^{-1}$, other figures are cm $plant^{-1}$. Root mean squares (as estimates of error) are 2.22 mg $plant^{-1}$ and 1.02 cm $plant^{-1}$

in capitulum size, are shown in table 5. For *S. rubellum* on hummocks and lawns the growth averaged 0.8 and 1.7 cm, compared with 0.5 to 2.2 cm measured on cranked wires in the same area (Table 3). An analysis of variance (Table 6), excluding *S. cuspidatum* which was not completely factorial in design, showed that the interaction of species and habitat is very significant.

Table 6

Analysis of variance of *Sphagnum recurvum*, *S. papillosum* and *S. rubellum* grown from April 1968 – April 1969 in three habitats on Burnt Hill *

Treatment	D_F		Sum of squares		Mean square		F	
Species (A)	2	2	866.5	119.1	433.2	59.6	104***	57***
Habitat (B)	2	2	239.6	146.6	119.8	73.2	29***	70***
Interaction AB	4	4	456.3	114.7	114.1	28.7	28***	27***
Site at habitat	1	1	39.1	7.9	39.1	7.9	9.4***	7.5***
Error	26	26	108.3	27.4	4.16	1.05		
Total	35	35	1709.7	415.5				

* Underlined figures refer to growth in weight, other figures to growth in length. All treatment effects are significant at $P < 0.001$ (= ***).

Table 7

Mean values for spatial density, weight per unit depth of carpet of stem + branches and leaves, and weight per unit length of plant *

Species	Number dm^{-2}	g dm^{-2} (cm plant)$^{-1}$	calculated mg (cm plant)$^{-1}$
S. rubellum	450	0.9	2.0
S. papillosum	125	0.9	7.2
S. recurvum	150	0.3	2.0
S. cuspidatum	150	0.4	2.7

* The data refer to the parts of the plants below the capitulum. Th plants were from 1 dm^{-2} samples collected from natural habitats ([5], fig. 13).

To allow comparisons between species the net productivity has been calculated using the data of table 7, and combined with the results of a similar experiment described in [5].

The analysis of variance (Table 8) shows that three interactions are important. Two of these are connected with the methods, and the mean values are shown in table 9. For *S. papillosum*, the length method gives a higher value than the weighing method, while for *S. rubellum* and *S. recurvum* the reverse is true. It is easily observed that in wetter conditions most species of *Sphagnum* show a laxer growth form. This is indicated by the variation in weight per unit length of stem (Fig. 4) for plants from these experiments.

The figure used in the calculations is that given in table 7, and shown graphically as the hatched line in the lawn habitat on figure 4. The experimental estimates shown in fig. 4 cannot be used, since they would then give identical results with the weight method, being based

Table 8

Analysis of variance of net productivity on Burnt Hill by *Sphagnum recurvum*, *S. papillosum*, and *S. rubellum* in pool, lawn and hummock in two years. (1963–64, 1968–69)*

Treatment	0_F	Sum of squares	Mean square	F
Species (A)	2	2.60	1.30	6.65**
Habitat (B)	2	20.27	10.13	52 ***
Year (C)	1	7.11	7.11	36 ***
Method (D)	1	0.22	0.22	1.11 NS
Interactions				
AB	4	7.49	1.87	9.55***
AC	2	1.59	0.80	4.06 *
AD	2	9.36	4.68	24 ***
BC	2	0.29	0.15	0.75 NS
BD	2	4.29	2.15	10.94 ***
CD	1	0.01	0.01	0.05 NS
Other interactions (used as error)	16	3.14	0.196	
Total	35	56.36		

* Net productivity was measured from growth in dry matter and from growth in length

Significance of treatment effects :

P < 0.00 **

P < 0.001 ***

Table 9

Net productivity (g dm^{-2} yr^{-1}) in two cases of strong interaction in experiments summarized in table 8*

Method	Species		
	S. rubellum	*S. papillosum*	*S. recurvum*
by weight	2.4	2.1	3.5
by length	2.1	3.1	2.4
		Habitat	
	Pool	Lawn	Hummock
by weight	3.0	3.0	2.1
by length	3.7	2.8	1.1

* The third case (species/habitat) is shown in fig. 3.

on the same measurements. They also include the capitulum and hence are too large. One can however use the results shown in fig. 4 as an indication of the direction of effects due to habitat, and predict whether the length will give lower, similar or higher results than the weighing method. It seems that the estimates based on length growth may be reliable only for the habitat in which the plant normally grows (shown shaded in figure 3).

The third important interaction shown in table 8 is of species and habitat. Although there was an important difference between years (net production in 1968/69 was about 20% lower than in 1963/64) the interaction with species is of little significance, so we may assume that all species and habitats were nearly similarly affected. The interaction of species and habitat arises mainly because *S. rubellum* and *S. recurvum* (and *S. cuspidatum*) each have increasing net production with increase in wetness, but *S. papillosum* has a peak in the habitat. It is necessary therefore to consider each species and habitat separately. *S. recurvum* and *S. cuspidatum* are perhaps the simplest. There are numerous examples, of, for example, toxin production or special morphological features



Fig. 3. — Net productivity of four species of *Sphagnum* in three habitats on Burnt Hill. Shaded areas show the natural habitat.



Fig. 4. — Mg cm^{-1} for the growth in 1968—69 (i.e. measure of spatial density) of four species of *Sphagnum* in three habitats on Burnt Hill. Shaded areas show natural habitat. The hatched lines for the lawn habitat show mean values of (plant dry weight) cm^{-1} for the 1—4 cm sections of the plants in natural habitats. *S. cuspidatum* was not transplanted to hummocks in 1968—69, so there is no measurement for that treatment.

giving a less productive species the ability to supress more productive ones [9] [13]. With *Sphagnum* the ability to extend rapidly (Table 5) may be such a feature.

Much the same may be said of *S. papillosum*, which has its largest net productivity in the habitat in which it normally grows, and is the fastest producer in that habitat.

To get an estimate of net productivity by *Sphagnum* over the whole area, the data from figure 3 for *S. rubellum* on hummocks, *S. papillosum* on lawns and *S. cuspidatum* in pools are combined with the areas given

Table 10

Net productivity on Burnt Hill

Type of vegetation	Net productivty $g\ dm^{-2}\ yr^{-1}$			Total $t\ ha^{-1}\ yr^{-1}$ ± 25 %	
	Sphagnum	Other species	Relative area	*Sphagnum*	All plants
Pool	4.4	0.52	+ 0.12 (0.18)	0.53±0.11	0.62±0.15
Lawn	3.4	0.42	0.13	0.44±0.12	0.50±0.14
Hummock	1.8	0.64	0.08	0.15±0.07	0.20±0.08
General blanket bog (15 % cover of *S. rubellum*)	0.9	2.73	*0.09 (0.61)	0.81±0.13	1.66±1.06
All habitats				1.93	2.98±1.08

+ Assumes pools $\frac{2}{3}$ covered by *S. cuspidatum*

* 15 % of 0.61, the proportion covered by vegetation type

in table 1 to give the restults in table 10. Since the pools are, on average, only about $\frac{2}{3}$ covered by *S. cuspidatum* the value has been correspondingly reduced. In pools, lawns and hummocks about 60% of *Sphagnum* production occurs, though they cover only 40% of the area. In the areas dominated by *Sphagnum* most other species are relatively less abundant, and the Ericaceous shrubs are absent or smaller than on the general blanket bog. Production by these shrubs and by the cotton grasses in these habitats is only 15% to 30% of that by *Sphagnum*. On the "general blanket bog", the reverse is true. The area as a whole has a productivity of about 3 $t\ ha^{-1}\ yr^{-1}$. This may be compared with about 6 $t\ ha^{-1}\ yr^{-1}$ estimated by Dr. Forrest for the *Calluna* and *Eriophorum vaginatum* dominated Sike Hill site.

3. Loss of matter in gaseous form was estimated at about monthly intervals during April to October, and less often in winter (when the peat is often frozen or snow covered). Checks, with a mass spectrometer, showed that the only detectable carbon containing gases were CO_2 and CH_4. Four sites were chosen on each of pool, lawn and hummock. The sites, even within the same habitat, showed striking individuelity; some consistently produced much more gas than others, and most sites produced occasionally unusually large amounts. The detailed statistical treatment of these data presents problems not yet satisfactorily solved. In table 11 are shown the integrated results for all 12 sites for 1969. An analysis of variance shows that the effect of habitat is significant at $P = 0.05$. Loss as CO_2 was more important than loss as CH_4. Production of CO_2 was about the same on hummocks and in pools, but less from lawns. Differences in CO_2 production are equally open to speculation. It is interesting that the low CO_2 losses occur in lawns, dominated by *S. papillosum*

which [4] reported to break down at about half the rate of *S. rubellum* and *S. cuspidatum*.

4. Organic matter in solution was measured as loss in weight on ignition at 450°C for 24 hours. Samples (all from bog pools) averaged 20 mg l^{-1} but concentrations reached 67 mg l^{-1} during a dry period.

Table 11

Production of gases in three sites during 1969

Habitat		Pool	Lawn	Hummock	P = 0.05
CO_2	gC dm^{-2} yr^{-1}	0.54	0.31	0.50	±0.22
CH_4	gC dm^{-2} yr^{-1}	0.07	0.04	0.01	±0.08
Total		0.61	0.35	0.51	
CO_2/CH_4		7.7	7.7	50	

The water in streams running off the catchments at Moor House is often dark brown. Crisp (1966) has measured the "peat concentration" in filtered samples of a stream there and finds values from 42 to 308 mg l^{-1}, but his stream drains a catchment with about 15% cover of eroding peat.

Potential evapotranspiration measurements cannot be made in winter, and for October to April precipitation alone was used, since there is relatively little evaporation during these months in any case. The product of run-off and average loss on ignition for the same period gives the total loss in solution. The winter losses are about 20% of the total.

No account is taken of losses as macroscopic pieces of plants, but occasional samples collected from the pool outflow indicate that such losses are smaller by a factor of about 10 than those in solution.

The loss in solution estimated thus averages 0.2 g dm^{-2} yr^{-1}. This figure must obviously be treated with caution, but it is only 13% to 25% of the loss as gas.

DISCUSSION

Using the results reported here, the tentative balance sheet of table 12 may be drawn up. The loss in solution has, for want of knowledge, been shown equal in all three habitats. Losses of CO_2 may be overestimated. Green material was removed from the gas samplers, but on the rest of the bog some of the CO_2 may be taken up by the green *Sphagnum* growing above the point where the gas was evolved. It is possible, however, for some movement of $^{45}Ca^{2+}$, at least, to occur by diffusion (or translocation ?). In view of the high cation exchange ability of *Sphagnum* interpretation is not easy, and will not be attempted here. Reabsorption of CO_2 could occur only during the day (since dark fixation of CO_2 is at a very low rate if it occurs at all), whereas the rate of CO_2 evolution is relatively independent of light. At the extreme therefore, it is unlikely that more than perhaps 50% of evolved CO_2 would be reabsorbed. Monteith, Szeicz, and Yabuki [14] found that from 6% to 20% of the carbon incorporated in crops came from CO_2 evolved by the soil.

At face value, the figures in table 12 indicate that the *Sphagnum* dominated parts of the bog are still growing and lawns may be extending.

Table 12

Preliminary balance of dry matter for three habitats*

Habitat	Pool	Lawn	Hummock	P = 0.05
Net production	+3.46	3.82	2.39	± 1.07
Loss as gas	1.50	0.89	1.29	± 0.60
Loss in solution	0.21	0.21	0.21	± 0.59
	1.71	1.10	1.40	
Net gain	1.8	2.7	1.0	± 1.35

+ Assumes pools $\frac{2}{3}$ covered by *S. cuspidatum*

* Gas loss is calculated as $(CH_2O)_n$. Solution loss has been assumed to be equal in all three habitats. Units are g dry matter dm^{-2} yr^{-1}.

It may be concluded that the productivity of these peatlands is unimpressively small. What *is* impressive is the accumulation of peat resulting from the even smaller rate of breakdown.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Professor G. E. Fogg, F.R.S., in whose Department this work was done, and to the Natural Enviroment Research Council for financial support. We thank Mrs. P. Ratnesar for help with some of the growth measurements, and the staff of the Nature Conservancy at Moor House, particularly Mr. M. Rawes and Mr. B. Marsh, for help of all kinds with the experiments there. We also thank Dr. G. I. Forrest for permission to use some of his unpublished data, and Dr. G. Lance for a copy of the information-analysis program.

PRODUCTIVITÉ DE SPHAGNUM ET ACCUMULATION DE TOURBE

RÉSUMÉ

On établit la teneur en matières sèches de quelques zones de marécage avec prédominance de *Sphagnum* qui se situent à une altitude de 575 m dans les collines Pennines de Grande-Bretagne. La productivité de *Sphagnum* s'élève à environ 2,9 g dm^{-2} an^{-1} dans les étangs, environ

3,4 g dm^{-2} an^{-1} sur les terrains plats et environ 1,8 g dm^{-2} an^{-1} sur les bosses du terrain. La perte moyenne de gaz dans les habitats correspondants est d'environ 1,5 et 0,9 et 1,3 g matières sèches dm^{-2} an^{-1}. La perte de solution atteint une moyenne d'environ 0,2 g dm^{-2} an^{-1}, sans distinction d'habitats.

REFERENCES

1. BISMARCK R. (VON), Flora (Jena), 1959, **148**, 23–83.
2. BOWER M. M., *A summary of available evidence and a further investigation of the causes, methods and results of erosion in blanket peat.* M. Sc. thesis University of London, 1959.
3. CHAPMAN S. B., J. Ecol., 1965, **53**, 371–84.
4. CLYMO R. S., J. Ecol., 1965, **53**, 747–57.
5. CLYMO R. S., J. Ecol., 1970, **58**, 13–49.
6. CRISP D. T., J. appl. Ecol., 1966, **3**, 327–48.
7. DALTON J., *Experimental enquiry into the proportion of the several gases or elastic fluids constituting the atmosphere.* Manchester Philosophical Society, 1802.
8. EDDY A., WELCH D., RAWES M., Vegetatio, 1969, **16**, 239–84.
9. HARPER J. L., (Ed), *The biology of weeds*, Blackwells, Oxford, 1960.
10. LAMBERT J. M., WILLIAMS W. T., J. Ecol., 1966, **54**, 635.
11. LANCE G. N., WILLIAMS W. T., Comput., 1968, **11**, 195.
12. MILLAR A., Quart. J. For., 1964, **58**, 239.
13. MILTHORPE F. L. (Ed.) *Mechanisms in biological competition.* Cambridge University Press, 1961.
14. MONTEITH J. L., SZEICZ G., YABUKI K., J. appl. Ecol., 1, 321.
15. OVERBECK F., HAPPACH H., Flora, Jena, 1956, **144**, 335.
16. SJORS H., Endeavour, 1961, **20**, 217.
17. TAYLOR J. A., Nature, London, 1964, **201**, 454.
18. WILLIAMS W. T., LAMBERT J. M., LANCE G. N., J. Ecol., 1966, **54**, 427.

Botany Department
Westfield College, London, N. W. 3

This paper was presented at an IBP/UNESCO meeting in Rumania in September 1970. By request of the hosts it was published in Hidrobiologia, but no proofs were sent to us, and we were not told of any editing. The editor has simply left out sections and this makes nonsense of many parts of the paper. The omitted sections are reproduced here.

There are also a number of minor spelling errors etc. which are obvious, and should cause no trouble. The (publishers) errors in the tables have been corrected by hand.

We apologise for this very unsatisfactory state of affairs.

A revised version is available as: Clymo R.S. & Reddaway E.J.F. (1972) A tentative dry-matter balance sheet for the wet blanket bog on Burnt Hill, Moor House N.N.R. Aspects of the ecology of the Northern Pennines. Occasional Paper #3. Institute of Terrestrial Ecology 1–15

p. 181, before last paragraph

.... with peat 2 - 3.5 m deep. The wet area to the south of centre is about 300 m across, and has a well developed pool and hummock complex. The edges of the area are eroding, with (2.5 m) gullies. The erosion is discussed by Bower (1959).

p. 181, 4 lines from bottom

.... samples of side 25 cm. The samples were placed on 206 random positions on a 1 m unit grid with 2500 intersections. Each sample was classified subjectively on topography, hydrology and plant cover as pool, lawn, hummock, or general blanket bog.

p. 182, line 3

.... also *Scirpus cespitosus*. The last was probably, in some cases, not noticed in the April survey though it was looked for.

p. 183, 6 lines from bottom

.... are shown in Fig. 1. Detailed discussion of these is not appropriate here. Most of the pool samples appear in the floristic group 6. Lawns are mainly distributed between groups 4, 5 and 6. Hummocks are much more widely distributed in groups 1 to 5. Pools, and to some extent lawns, are characterized as much by the species absent from them, as by those present. The hummocks have a wide range of "accidental" associates. The species groups, A to F, are fairly readily interpreted.

p. 184, after line 5

.... described by Millar (1954). The mean annual temperature at 1 ft below the surface (daily observations at 9.00 GMT) for 1953 to 1965 was 6.2°C, with monthly mean at its highest, 11.5°C in July and August, and at its lowest 1.3°C, in February. The 5 ft (182 cm) screen mean maximum temperature was highest, 14.8°C, in July, and lowest, 1.8°C in February. The screen mean minimum was highest, 7.2°C, in July and lowest -3.6°C, in February. The average rainfall was 187 cm, with an average 247 rain days per year. The average windspeed (Feb 1956 - Dec 1965) was 13.2 knots (24.4 km hr^{-1}).

p. 184, in centre of Methods

.... are given in Clymo (1970)

Loss of matter as gas from the ecosystem was measured at roughly monthly intervals. Stainless steel cylinders 27 cm diameter and 30 cm deep with a shallow channel brazed to the outer upper edge, were sunk in the bog surface. Green plant parts were cut off, and the first samples were taken 8 weeks later. For sampling, thick polyethylene pots were inverted over the steel cylinders and sealed in the channel with water. After 24 hours an evacuated flask was attached to a tube in the top of the plastic container, the tube having been closed during the sampling period with a glass rod. The tap of the evacuated container was opened and a sample of the gas thus collected. The concentration of CO_2 and CH_4 was estimated later with an infra red gas analyser.

p. 184, 8 lines from bottom

.... and colour were used. A total of 84 wires was set out. By the end of the second year only 57 could be found in position. Some of these however were ones not found at the end of the first year. The losses may be due to sheep, grouse, or wind-whip by Calluna. Many of the lost ones were seen lying uprooted.

p. 184, 4 lines from bottom

.... histories and management. The healthy sites are similar in all three, but the subjectively assessed moderate and unhealthy sites appear to have a slower mean growth rate on Burnt Hill than on the other areas.

p. 185, line before table 5

.... to that of S. cuspidatum. The plants grew from April 1968 to April 1969. At harvest, two groups of about 10 - 20 plants of each species were taken at both sites of each habitat. The groups were treated as a unit.

p. 185, line after table 5

.... in table 5. The increase in length was also measured, and is shown too.

p. 186, line before table 6

.... very significant; a not entirely surprising result. The significant difference between sites (in nominally the same habitat) is perhaps due to the difficulty of selecting similar parts of the same habitat, since there is continuous variation between habitats, not a sharp division.

p. 186, 8 lines from bottom

.... reverse is true. The other effect is that in pools the length method gives a higher value than the weighing method, whilst the reverse is true on hummocks. The source of these differences is probably the variation in spatial density and length of branches in the different habitats.

p. 186, 5 lines from bottom

.... from these experiments. The exception is S. recurvum for which there is no great change with habitat.

p. 186, 3 lines from bottom

.... lawn habitat on fig. 4. Since the plants do not normally grow in some of the habitats used in the experiment, field samples to give the data similar to those in table 7 are not obtainable.

p. 187, 9 lines from bottom

.... than the weighing method. When this is done, 8 of the 9 predictions agree with observation. In conclusion therefore, it seems

p. 188, line 4

.... perhaps the simplest. They have greatest productivity in the habitat in or near which they normally occur and of the species tested have largest net production in that habitat. It would be a major error to neglect biological

p. 190, 8 lines from bottom

.... gas was evolved. Support for this suggestion comes from an experiment in which 5 cm long Sphagnum plants in a funnel covered with a polythene bag were sprayed with a solution approximating rainwater in composition and containing $H^{14}CO_3^-$. All parts of the plants were initially strongly labelled, but after 12 weeks growth, the radioactivity was mainly in the new (green) growth and had mostly disappeared from the older parts.

p. 191, just before table 12

.... may be extending. During the last ten years S. papillosum has encroached on some of the pools as one might expect, but it will be obvious that there are still large margins of uncertainty in each of these estimates, since the net gain is obtained as a small difference between large numbers, but the errors are all additive. The errors are simply the sampling errors; they take no account of systematic errors.

restriction of a species to a particular habitat.

p. 188,7 lines from bottom

.... such a feature. At least,in this case, biological features and net productivity are apparently in harmony.

p. 188, before last paragraph

.... in that habitat.

Net productivity of S. rubellum is not so easily interpreted. It does have a higher value on hummocks than other species tested, but can produce much more in wetter habitats. The obvious explanation would be that it is prevented, by "competition" from growing in these habitats. Individual plants are smaller than the other species and extend more slowly. It is perhaps significant that one may often find individual plants of S. rubellum in lawns, but rarely a complete canopy. The biological features which enable S. rubellum to grow relatively well on hummocks are not at present known, but they may be connected with water transport abilities.

p. 189, end of first paragraph

.... Sike Hill site. On that area the individual plants are larger and distributed more densely than on Burnt Hill, and there is about 15% Sphagnum cover.

p. 189, 4 lines from bottom

.... loss as CH_4. In pools and lawns there was about 8 times as much CO_2 produced as CH_4, and on hummocks about 50 times as much.

p. 189, 3 lines from bottom

.... less from lawns. The data are not easily interpretable. If the peat in a pool is stirred with a stick any gas collected is found to contain a lot of CH_4, N_2 and A, but very little, or no, CO_2. (This is by no means a new observation, see Dalton 1802). It seems reasonable to suppose that the CH_4 is produced by micro-organisms in the anaerobic zone below the water table. The smaller amounts of CH_4 coming from hummocks might be due to inherently smaller effluxes (resulting from a difference in microflora or peat) or could be due to metabolism of the CH_4 during diffusion through the relatively deep aerobic zone of peat.

p. 190, 5 lines below table 11

eroding peat.

It is very difficult to assess the loss in solution from each habitat, since rain falling on hummocks runs through lawns into pools. From an undamaged bog surface there can be lateral runoff in the top 20 cms (Chapman, 1965), and from Burnt Hill the runoff is at least partly channelled through pools. In wet weather the flow out from pools is visible. An estimate of total losses was made using precipitation and potential evapotranspiration measurements recorded at Moor House. The difference between these is taken as an estimate of run-off. For the very wet area studied this is probably a reasonable estimate, though too high.